

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,901	09/27/2005	Mats Leijon	2816-11	4565
616 THE MAXHAN	7590 04/26/2007 M FIRM	EXAMINER		
9330 SCRANTON ROAD, SUITE 350			GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2834	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

4
つ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Commence	10/550,901	LEIJON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
\	Julio C. Gonzalez	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the strength of the stren	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	 action is non-final.	-,					
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i> -	_						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-47</u> is/are pending in the application)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ '						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-36 and 45-47</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>19-36 and 45-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-44</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>37-44</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2005 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19-21, 29-31, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodbridge et al (US 6,020,653) in view of Carroll (US 6,229,225) and Ordinary Skill in the Art.

Woodbridge et al discloses a wave power generator having hull 21, linear generator 12 connected to hull 21 (see figure 1).

However, Woodbridge et al does not disclose having a spring.

On the other hand, Carroll discloses for the purpose of capturing energy contained in surface waves while protecting the system, a wave power generator having a linear generator with a spring 19 (see figure 1).

However, neither Woodbridge nor Carroll discloses the amplitude percentage and the force factor.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the disclosed amplitude percentage and force factor,

Art Unit: 2834

since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the wave power assembly as disclosed by Woodbridge et al and to modify the invention by having a spring for the purpose of capturing energy contained in surface waves while protecting the system as disclosed by Carroll.

3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodbridge et al, Carroll and Ordinary Skill in the Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Last et al (US 3,696,251).

The combined wave power assembly discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined wave power assembly does not disclose having a plurality of springs.

On the other hand, Last et al discloses for the purpose of deriving efficiently electrical energy from oscillating motion a device with a plurality of springs 10 (see figures 1, 2).

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined wave power assembly as disclosed above and to modify the invention by having a plurality of springs for the purpose of deriving efficiently electrical energy from oscillating motion as disclosed by Last et al.

4. Claims 28, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodbridge et al, Carroll and Ordinary Skill in the Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor (US 4,434,375).

The combined wave power assembly discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined wave power assembly does not disclose having a plurality of wave power assemblies.

On the other hand, Taylor discloses for the purpose of outputting a constant flow of power, a plurality of wave power devices (see figures 1, 2) and that a gas spring 127c is used.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined wave power assembly as disclosed above and to modify the invention by having a plurality of wave power devices for the purpose of outputting a constant flow of power as disclosed by Taylor.

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claims 22-27, 33, 34, 35, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodbridge et al, Carroll and Ordinary Skill in the Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ordinary Skill in the Art.

The combined wave power assembly discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined wave power assembly does not disclose having a 90% maximum length stroke and the force factor disclosed in such claims.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such force factor and length stroke, since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPO 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 37 – 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-2024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julio C. Gonzalez Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

Jcg

April 19, 2007